

Staple to face of Application  
(Rev. 7-98)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

PATENT &amp; TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION TRANSFER REQUEST FOR S.N. 09/843,660Section I. TRANSFER REQUEST BY (PRINT NAME) HSUDate: 10/24/01TO: Art Unit 2819Class/sub 326FROM: A.U. 2662 Class 370

REASON:

Digital logic Ckt with Flip-Flops (see claim 7).

Gatekeeper concurrence \_\_\_\_\_

Hand carried: Personally accepted by \_\_\_\_\_

Section II a. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC By: \_\_\_\_\_

A.U. \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

☐ ACCEPTED BY RECEIVING T.C.

NOT ACCEPTED

☐ Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier☐ Non-classification issue/other, return to Originating TC/AU \_\_\_\_\_

REASON:

Section II b. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER

☒ This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU 2661 Class/Sub 370/465 Post Classifier M. Fatahiz Date 11/28/01

Concurring \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

☐ This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL

Post Classifier Assessment:

O.K. for 370/465  
Seema S. Rao  
9/6/01

\* As accepted by examiner Seema Rao. The claimed disclosure is directed to a circuitry for detecting type of interface protocol in a data packet communication system. Note, this case was refused by Primary Examiner from 307, 326 & 329 because of Protocol detection. Post Classifier

Gatekeeper Concurrence \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Panel Decision: Forward to Technology Center / Art Unit \_\_\_\_\_

Class/sub \_\_\_\_\_

REASON:

Panel Member \_\_\_\_\_

Concurring Panel Member \_\_\_\_\_

☐ This application MAY NOT be returned to the dispute resolution panel. THIS IS A FINAL DISPOSITION.

Mahmoud Fatahiz (Revised)

11/27/01